

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
SAM STEFANKI
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

GABRIEL DIOP,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00106-WBS

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
ORDER

DATE: April 10, 2023
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America (the “government”), by and through its counsel of record, and
defendant Gabriel Diop, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 10, 2023.
2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until May 22, 2023 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between April 10, 2023, and May 22, 2023, under Local Code T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes more than 15,000 pages of discovery, images, and video files, all of which are subject to a protective order. Following defense counsel’s recent appointment as counsel of record, the government produced the entirety of this discovery and made additional material available for

1 inspection and copying pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(E) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

2 b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review this newly produced
3 discovery, consult with his client, review the charges, conduct investigation and research related
4 to the charges, discuss potential resolutions with his client and the government, prepare pretrial
5 motions, and otherwise prepare for trial.

6 c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested
7 continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
8 account the exercise of due diligence.

9 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

10 e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the
11 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the
12 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

13 f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
14 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 10, 2023, to May 22, 2023,
15 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]
16 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request on the basis
17 of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best
18 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 ///

2 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the
3 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial
4 must commence.

5
6 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

7
8 Dated: April 3, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

9
10 /s/ SAM STEFANKI
11 SAM STEFANKI
Assistant United States Attorney


12
13 Dated: April 3, 2023

/s/ DOUGLAS BEEVERS
DOUGLAS BEEVERS
Counsel for Defendant
GABRIEL DIOP

14
15
16
17 **FINDINGS AND ORDER**

18
19 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

20
21 Dated: April 3, 2023


WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE